PK/PD Modeling of Progranulin Elevation in Blood and CSF to Support AL101 Phase 2 Study

Massimiliano Germani¹, Amitkumar Joshi², Carey Hines², Robert Lai¹, David Roth³, Michael Ward⁴, Lovingly Park⁴, Balasubrahmanyam Budda⁴ ¹GSK Neuroscience, Stevenage, UK²PPD, part of Thermo Fisher Scientific, Wilmington, NC, USA ³GSK Research and Development, Collegeville, PA, USA ⁴Alector, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA

Background

- Progranulin (PGRN) is a glycoprotein that is encoded by the GRN gene, regulated by sortilin-mediated endocytosis, and known to play a vital role in many cellular processes, such as inflammation, wound repair, lysosomal function, and neurodegeneration^{1,2}
- GRN mutations resulting in downregulation of PGRN have been linked to the development of neurodegenerative disorders, such as Alzheimer's disease (AD)³
- Rare *GRN* loss of function mutations are reported in clinically diagnosed AD patients; the common variant rs5848 is associated with a ~15% decrease in plasma PGRN and has been identified as a genetic determinant of AD²
- Given the neurotrophic actions of PGRN, increasing PGRN levels may provide an appropriate therapeutic approach for individuals with neurodegenerative conditions such as AD^{2,4}
- AL101 is a human immunoglobulin (Ig) G1 monoclonal antibody designed to bind to sortilin and inhibit interaction between PGRN and the sortilin receptor, thereby elevating PGRN levels in blood and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) and slowing the rate of decline in individuals with AD⁵
- The safety, tolerability, pharmacokinetics (PK), pharmacodynamics (PD), and bioavailability of AL101, when administered as single or multiple intravenous (IV) or subcutaneous (SC) doses, were evaluated in healthy individuals in a phase 1 study⁶

Objective

 To simulate a dose-response (in terms of average PGRN elevation) during the dosing period in plasma and CSF) profile based on the phase 1 study results

Methods

- The PK/PD model (Figure 1) was developed based on IV data from phase 1 study^{5,6} and used to build a dose-response profile that tested the effects of AL101 while changing dose level and frequency
- Simulation studies establish a mathematical relationship between AL101 plasma and CSF concentrations and the expected change in PGRN plasma and CSF levels
- The final model included body weight (BW) as a covariate on clearance and volume of distribution in serum AL101, and baseline plasma PGRN as a covariate on the maximum effect term on plasma PGRN degradation. Once validated the model was used for simulating different clinical scenarios
- Simulations were performed considering the patient populations:
- BW and baseline PGRN levels were extracted from a normal distribution from the phase 1 data, and the model assumed no difference in PK/PD between healthy volunteers (phase 1 study) and AD patients (simulated phase 2 study)
- 1000 simulations were conducted to estimate the effects of either a high dose administered every 4 weeks (q4w) IV (**Figure 2A**) or low dose administered q4w IV (Figure 2B) on AL101 concentrations in plasma and CSF and the corresponding percent change from baseline in PGRN levels in plasma and CSF (data not shown)

 The PK/PD profiles were simulated to build the dose-response curve in terms of average change from baseline of PGRN in CSF

Figure 1. PK/PD Model Structure and Parameterization



Results

- Providing the estimated parameters, variability in model parameters, residual errors, and random extracted covariates (ie, BW and PGRN baseline values), the PK/PD model was used to simulate AL101 (Figure 2) concentrations in plasma (red) and CSF (blue)
- BW was detected as a statistically significant covariate in the PK/PD model. However, its impact on area under the curve at steady state (AUC_s), over the dosing interval (AUC_{tau}), and maximum concentration at steady state (C_{max}) [data shown in log-scale] suggested mostly similar exposure-distribution between flat and weight-based dosing and minimal or no impact on the safety margin (Figure 3)

Figure 3. Estimated Effect of BW on AUC_{tau} in Response to Weight-Figure 5. PGRN Elevation in CSF From Baseline at Trough (A) **Adjusted vs Flat Dosing Regimens** and as an Overall Average (B)

Body weight adjusted was compared with flat dosing (same amount for 67kg subject)



AUC_{tau}, area under the curve at over the dosing interval; BW, body weight.

- Figure 4 shows the relationship between dose (AL101 q4w IV) and PK/PD characterization and simulations supported the transition response (steady-state baseline-corrected CSF PGRN concentrations) to flat dosing compared to weight-based dosing and justified the and **Figure 5** demonstrates the impact of changing the dosing administration of two dose levels at q4w intervals frequency considering q4w, q8w, and q12w
- Two IV dose levels will be considered for the phase 2 study. A high dose q4w IV is proposed as the top dosing regimen to reach and maintain the maximum expected PGRN elevation in CSF
- A dose that exceeds the high dose proposed here would not be expected to yield an improved PD effect
- Increasing the dosing interval from q4w would impact the PGRN concentration profile and lead to lower CSF PGRN levels with major impact at the end of the dosing period

Figure 4. AL101 Average Expected Dose Response Based on Varying Dose Levels Administered q4w IV (2000 simulations per dose level)







CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; PGRN, progranulin; q4w, every 4 weeks; q8w, every 8 weeks; q12w, every 12 weeks.

Conclusions

- The high dose q4w is expected to be the minimal dose which would allow obtaining the maximum PGRN elevation in CSF and maintain it for the entire dosing period, whilst the lower dose level is expected to be the median effective dose (ED50) in terms of average PGRN elevation from baseline in CSF
- Additional simulation testing could allow for further investigation regarding the effects of dosing frequency and the impact of utilizing different routes of administration
- The PK/PD model will be integrated with emerging data to increase the confidence in parameter estimation and confirm and inform potential covariate effects

References

- Chitramuthu BP, Bennett HPJ, Bateman A. Progranulin: a new avenue towards the understanding and treatment of neurodegenerative disease. Brain. 2017;140(12):3081-3104.
- Rhinn H, Tatton N, McCaughey S, Kurnellas M, Rosenthal A. Progranulin as a therapeutic target in neurodegenerative diseases. *Trends Pharmacol Sci*. 2022;43(8):641-652.
- Wang XM, Zeng P, Fang YY, Zhang T, Tian Q. Progranulin in neurodegenerative dementia. *J Neurochem*. 2021;158(2):119-137.
- Hu F, Padukkavidana T, Vaegter CB, et al. Sortilin-mediated endocytosis determines levels of the frontotemporal dementia protein, progranulin. Neuron. 2010;68(4):654-667. Ward M, Paul R, Maslyar D, et al. A first-in-human study of the anti-sortilin antibody AL101. Poster presented
- at: 14th Clinical Trials on Alzheimer's Disease Conference; November 9-12, 2021; Boston, MA. Ward M, Yeh FL, Park L, et al. Repeat IV and SC dosing of the anti-sortilin antibody AL101. Poster presented at:
- 15th Clinical Trials on Alzheimer's Disease Conference; November 29-December 2, 2022; San Francisco, CA.

Disclosures

Massimiliano Germani, Robert Lai, David Roth are all employees and shareholders of GSK. Lovingly Park and Balasubrahmanyam Budda are employees and shareholders of Alector, Inc. Mike Ward is an independent consultant of Alector, Inc. and Athena Bioscience, LLC, and a shareholder of Alector, Inc. Carey Hines and Amitkumar Joshi are employees of PPD and may hold equity in PPD.

Acknowledgments

Medical writing services were provided by Scient Healthcare Communications and funded by Alector, Inc. We thank the site staff, participants, and their families for participation in the clinical trial.

